LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Dear Editor:

The article on laboratory deodorization appearing in the March issue of *JAOCS* (1) should prove to be a valuable guide to researchers and processors in the field of edible oil processing. The single and multiple flask deodorizers described are well designed and make it possible for the operation of deodorization to be carried out in the laboratory. However, I wish to point out two minor drawbacks of the system, both of which were previously addressed by Heide-Jensen (2).

To begin with, the choice of oil as the heating medium is not ideal. Working with hot oil in the laboratory is always a safety concern, and an elaborate heating bath equipped with a stirrer and cooling coils and housed in a special cabinet is not within the reach of all laboratories. I have found it convenient to heat the single flask deodorizer with a powercontrolled hemispherical heating mantle. Another mantle, not heated, can be placed on top of the flask to provide insulation and shield the oil from light. The temperature of the oil is monitored by a thermometer fitted to the deodorization flask through a ground glass joint (S.T. 10/30). The entire apparatus will fit into a chemical hood where the deodorization can be run safely. This eliminates the need for a contained oil bath and reduces the lengthy time required to bring a large oil bath to temperature. Cooling is accomplished rapidly by removing the top mantle and directing air from a small fan over the top of the deodorizer flask.

Secondly, there is no way to vary the flow of sparge steam with the apparatus described. This can

easily be done by placing an incandescent bulb, or heat lamp, at varying distances from the steam generator as suggested by Heide-Jensen (2). Although this is by no means a precise method, it does offer some measure of control over the steam flow and improves the reproducibility of the method.

For those researchers who do not have the resources of a glassblower nearby to fabricate a laboratory deodorizer for them, one can be purchased from Lurex Scientific (Vineyard, NJ). Although based on the design of Schwab and Dutton (3) as well, it is more cumbersome and harder to operate than is the Northern Regional Research Center laboratory deodorizer.

Finally, and perhaps most important, those using the laboratory deodorizer should beware of attempting to extrapolate their results to actual commercial deodorizer equipment. Deodorizers vary in type and design and, as pointed out by Bitner *et al.* (4), a laboratory deodorizer of the batch type cannot accurately simulate deodorization in a large-scale commercial deodorizer.

Jeffrey B. Fine Hershey Foods Corp. Technical Center Hershey, PA 17033

REFERENCES

- 1. Moulton, K.J. Sr., J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 66:302 (1989).
- 2. Heide-Jensen, J., Ibid. 40:223 (1963).
- 3. Schwab, A.W., and H.J. Dutton, Ibid. 25:57 (1948).
- Bitner, E.D., J.P. Friedrich and T.L. Mounts, *Ibid.* 63:338 (1986).

JAOCS CLASSIFIED ADVERTISING

Rates: \$40 per column inch. Typesetting is free. AOCS members may run a classified ad for 3 months, free of charge. For display classified advertising ½ page or larger, see regular JAOCS rates. JAOCS will provide art and type services for make-up of display ads at a minimal fee.

Payment: Invoices for classified advertising will be mailed 30 days after publication of issue in which the ad appears.

Classified Box Numbers: AOCS will provide a classified box number for confidential responses

free of charge. Please specify if you would like this service and supply complete name and address.

Agency Commission: 15% agency commission allowed on display classified advertisements 1/4 page size or larger.

Closing Date: Insertion orders and copy for classified advertising is the 3rd of the month prior to issue in which the ad will appear (e.g, January 3rd for publication in the February issue).

Reply to: Myra Barenberg JAOCS Classified Advertising P.O. Box 3489 Champaign, IL 61826-3489 Phone: (217) 359-8028 Telex: 4938651 AOCS UI Telefax: (217) 351-8091